# Understanding the Psychology of March 2020 Stock Market Crash

Smita Datta\*
Anindita Chakraborty\*\*

### **ABSTRACT**

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted the global economy dramatically, which includes the biggest stock market crash since the Global Financial Crisis of 2008. Like many other previous stock market crisis, the March 2020 stock market crash is also inadequately explained by the traditional finance paradigm. In this paper, this phenomenon has been analyzed from a behavioral finance perspective. The analysis shows that psychological biases such as loss aversion, availability bias, and representativeness bias and herd behavior operating simultaneously played a key role in bringing about the dramatic decline in global stock prices in March 2020.

**Keywords:** Behavioral finance, COVID 19, Stock Market Crash, Loss Aversion, Availability bias, and Representativeness bias, Herd behavior

# 1. Introduction

On March 11, 2020, the novel Coronavirus (COVID 19) outbreak was officially declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO). This pandemic has not only resulted in a health emergency across the globe, but it has also dramatically affected the world economy. The March 2020 stock market crash, also popularly known as the Coronavirus Crash, was an extensive and abrupt global stock market crash. The crash was known for the quickest decline in global stock markets' history and the most spectacular crash. The key reason behind the 2020 crash was aggregate investors' behavior because they were anxious about the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on their stock returns. On March 9, 2020, most of the world's stock markets witnessed the biggest crash since the Global Financial Crisis of 2008. This date began to be referred to as Black Monday. Three days later, there was another wild drop, Black Thursday. The major indices across North America and Europe fell by more than 9 %. During March 2020, the stock market indices in most G20 nations witnessed a decline of at least 25% to 30%. The Indian stock market indices also fell by 23% each during March. The traditional financial paradigm assumes that human beings behave correctly while making an investment decision but fails to explain such wild fluctuations in the stock market. However, the modern behavioral finance paradigm proclaims that the stock prices are determined by what investors are willing to pay for stocks, which is driven by their animal instincts of greed and fear in addition to rational economic considerations. According to the behavioral finance approach, investors exhibit various

 <sup>\*</sup> Assistant Professor, University of Engineering & Management, Kolkata (WB)-India, E-mail: smitag@rocketmail.com

<sup>\*\*</sup> Assistant Professor, Institute of Management Studies, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (UP)-India, E-mail: aninditachakraborty19@gmail.com

psychological biases while making investment decisions. These psychological biases are the key factors responsible for causing the dramatic stock market crash of March 2020.

### 2. Review of Literature

The COVID-19 has impacted the stock market in an unparalleled manner compared to previous contagious disease outbreaks (Baker et al., 2020). Therefore many studies have been conducted to examine the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the stock market.

Beck et al. (2020) investigated ten emerging stock markets during the pandemic period and found evidence that most stocks were adversely affected. Topcu and Gulal (2020) have also documented a negative impact of COVID-19 on the emerging stock market. They have also found that this negative impact is vital in Asia and weakest in European emerging markets. Erdem (2020)studied the reactions of stock market indices of 75 nations and concluded that the pandemic had negatively influenced stock markets. Mishra et al. (2020) revealed that the adverse impact of COVID-19 on Indian stock market returns was more substantial than the negative influence of contemporary structural changes such as demonetization and the implementation of goods and services tax. Awadhi et al. (2020) reported that the stocks comprising the Hang Seng Index and Shanghai Stock Exchange Composite Index had responded negatively to the COVID-19 outbreak. Similarly, Ali et al. (2020) documented that in countries such as Germany, the US, UK and South Korea, stock market volatility has risen significantly from the epidemic (December 2019 to March 10, 2020) to the pandemic period (post March 10, 20202).

However, there is a lack of research investigating the possible triggers which led to this catastrophic cause. This study aims to bridge this gap by gaining an in-depth insight into this well-documented stock market crash, which will shed some light on the possible causes of this phenomenon. This article shed some light on some prominent psychological biases that have contributed to the dramatic

stock market crash of March 2020.

# 3. Methodology

The most widely used methodology for conducting an in-depth investigation of an event to identify the underlying causes is the case study method. Since this study also has a similar objective, the case study method has been employed. This case study has been carried out by collecting qualitative data from existing psychological bias literature, literature on past stock market crashes and contemporary news on stock market and COVID-19 and analyzing them to reach a logical conclusion regarding the possible causes that triggered the March 2020 stock market crash.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1 Loss Aversion

Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky developed loss aversion bias in 1979 as a prospect theory (Pompian, 2006). It refers to the inclination of investors to avoid losses rather than earn profits. It has been documented that the investor's desire to avoid losses is almost twice their desire to earn a profit. Also, this desire to avoid loss is amplified during periods of crisis and may lead to the fall of share prices (Barberis, 2011). In their series of experiments, Thaler and Johnson (1990) proved that people who have suffered a loss later become more loss aversive. They suggested that people do not take gambles that they would like to take in the absence of the past loss. Thus we can state that after suffering through one loss, investors would like to avoid such a painful situation in their life again (Barberis, 2011). Initially, the decline in share prices may force investors to bear the losses, but as the prices decline, it may lead the investors to be more loss aversive. As a result, investors start reducing the portion of their holdings in share, which in turn causes a further decline in share prices (Thaler and Johnson, 1990).

## 4.2 Regret Aversion Bias

Regret aversion bias seeks to deal with the pain of regret associated with low or uncertain decisions making. A cognitive bias often arises in investors, causing them to make errors in their decisions (Pompian, 2006). Due to this bias, investors become apprehensive about entering into financial markets which have recently generated losses, and they feel more inclined to conserve and start selling their stocks.

Governments worldwide responded to the threat of the COVID 19 pandemic with mandatory business closures and stringent restrictions on commercial and social activities. This led to adverse impacts on company earnings which in turn resulted in the decline of stock prices. With the end of the pandemic not in sight in the foreseeable future, the prospect of companies and their stocks appeared to be bleak. Consequently, to circumvent the probable losses in the near future due to uncertainty caused by the COVID 19 pandemic (Pan et al. 2020), investors' regret aversion bias magnified manifolds, and they started liquidating their stocks and channelize their funds to gold which is traditionally considered as a haven in turbulent times. This fear of losing money is associated with regret aversion behavior, as exhibited by individuals during this pandemic (Kuruppu and De Zoysa, 2020).

# 4.3 Availability Bias

This bias occurs when investors give undue weightage to information that is available (Barbaris, 2001). It, therefore, refers to a mental shortcut that relies on an instant case or example that comes to investor's minds when assessing a specific decision (Esgate and Baker, 2005). It manifests itself in two forms:

- Recency bias involves investors assigning higher weights to the current market trends rather than putting the short-term market fluctuations into a proper long-term context. These trends are, in fact, random shifts that have no power to predict the direction of the market.
- Salience bias refers to the fact that investors pay more attention to that piece of information that has been more highlighted and discussed.

During this period, the media coverage was

heavily inclined towards COVID 19 pandemic. Due to salience bias, the investors focused mainly on this extensive media coverage of news related to the pandemic. Social media also exaggerated the influence of the COVID 19 pandemic (Esveld, 2020) and increased the perceived likelihood of evocative outcomes on individuals due to media (Lichtenstein et al., 1978). It resulted in the amplification of the sentiment of fear prevailing in the stock market and the declining investors' trust in stock as an investment instrument. This pattern of public behavior has been observed in the case of previous infectious disease outbreaks as well (Blendon et al., 2004; Mairal, 2011; Young et al., 2013).

# 4.4 Representativeness Bias

Representativeness bias refers to a cognitive bias that associates a current event with a similar event that occurred in the past, and people tend to predict the outcome of the current event based on the outcome of the analogous historical event. In the case of investment decisions, this bias causes investors to predict the future stock price movements after a significant event based on historical stock market movements following similar events in the past. In reality, these are significantly different circumstances (Pompian, 2006).

The March 2020 stock market crash was frequently compared with the 2008 global Financial Crisis and the Great Depression of the 1930s by experts. Such comparisons are prominent evidence that representation bias was dominant in market psychology. As a result of such biased comparisons, the investors expected the stock price to follow a declining trajectory recorded in 2009 and 1929. Hence, a mass selling of stocks resulted in the dramatic decline of the global stock market.

### 4.5 Herd Behavior

Herd behavior refers to making decisions based on what others are doing, which is either motivated by the need to feel safe or the need to avoid conflict. Christie and Huang (1995) defined herding as a situation where investors follow collective decisions. When individual

investors see others buying or selling and not to be left out of the profit-making or left taking the losses, respectively, they follow suit. Herd behavior is triggered by one person's actions known as the "catalyst," and others follow him mindlessly without considering whether his behavior was rational or appropriate.

In March 2020, some investors falling prey to this bias and started selling their stock investments, and these investors acted as a catalyst and triggered herd behavior among a significant portion of investors, causing the market to decline dramatically. Earlier research in this area also stated that herd behavior significantly affected the stock markets' movement (Jaiyeoba et al., 2018; Ph and Uchil, 2019; Dhall and Singh, 2020). This explanation gains strength from the fact that increased herd behavior has also been documented during the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis (Chiang et al., 2007)

## 5. Conclusion

From the above discussion, it is evident that various psychological biases played a crucial role in bringing about the dramatic march 2020 stock market crash in response to the COVID 19 pandemic. When faced with such an unprecedented situation, the inherent loss aversion of investors escalated. Additionally, the media frenzy caused by the ongoing COVID 19 pandemic and the increased reach of such news to the investors due to easy access to smartphones and computers led to immediate reactions to such news. Such quick reactions are more often motivated by fear or greed rather than analysis or reason. Thus, extensive media coverage and easy availability of news have intensified the psychological biases exhibited by investors. Finally, these irrational responses

## **References:**

• Al-Awadhi, AM, Alsaifi, K, Al-Awadhi, A, Alhammadi, S. (2020). Death and contagious infectious diseases: Impact of the COVID-19 virus on stock market returns. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*. DOI: 10.1016/+j.jbef.2020.100326.

- Ali M., Alam N., Rizvi S.A.R. (2020). Coronavirus (COVID-19)— An epidemic or pandemic for financial markets. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbef.2020.100341.
- Baker, S. R., Bloom, N., Davis, S. J., Kost, K. J., Sammon, M. C. and Viratyosin, T. (2020). "The Unprecedented Stock Market Impact of COVID-19." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Papers, No. w26945.
- Barberis, N. (2001, June 18). Investors seek lessons in thinking. *Financial Times*, Mastering Investment Part.
- Beck, T.; Flynn, B.; Homanen, M. (2020). COVID-19 in Emerging Markets: Firm Survey Evidence. Available online: https://voxeu.org/article/covid-19 emerging-markets-firm-survey-evidence (Accessed on September 12, 2020)
- Blendon, R. J., Benson, J. M., DesRoches, C. M., Raleigh, E., & Taylor-Clark, K. (2004). The Public's Response to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in Toronto and the United States. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 38(7), 925–931, https://doi.org/10.1086/382355
- Chang, E. C., Cheng, J. W., & Khorana, A. (2000). An examination of herd behavior in equity markets: An international perspective. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 24(10), 1651–1679.
- Chiang, T. C., Jeon, B. N., & Li, H. (2007). Dynamic correlation analysis of financial contagion: Evidence from Asian countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 26(7), 1206-1228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2007.06.005
- Dhall, R., & Singh, B. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic and herding behavior: Evidence from India's stock market. *Millennial Asia*, 1-25. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0976399620964635">https://doi.org/10.1177/0976399620964635</a>
- Erdem, O. (2020). Freedom and stock market performance during Covid-19 outbreak. Finance Research Letters, 101671.
- Esgate, D. G. & Baker, K. (2005). An

- introduction to applied cognitive psychology, Psychology Press, UK, New York.
- Esveld, O. (2020). Forget toilet paper, everyone is now panic buying cake mix and cleaning products. Retrieved on June 12, 2020, from https://www.kiis1065.com.au/lifestyle/health-beauty/forgettoilet-paper-everyone-is-now-panic-buying-cake-mix-and-cleaning-products/.
- Jaiyeoba, H., Adewale, A. A., Haron, R., & Ismail, C. M. (2018). Investment decision behavior of the Malaysian retail investors and fund managers: A qualitative inquiry. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, 10(9), 134–151.
- Kuruppu, G. N. & De Zoysa, A. (2020). COVID-19 and panic buying: an examination of the impact of behavioral biases. Available at SSRN: <a href="https://ssrn.com/abstract=3596101">https://ssrn.com/abstract=3596101</a> or <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3596101">http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3596101</a>
- Lichtenstein, S., Slovic, P., Fischhoff, B., Layman, M. & Combs, B. (1978). Judged frequency of lethal events. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 4(6), 551–578. https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.4.6.551
- Mairal, G. (2011). The history and the narrative of risk in the media. *Health, Risk & Society*, 13 (1), 65-79, https://doi.org/10.1080/13698575.2010.54 0313
- Mishra, A.K.; Rath, B.N.; Dash, AK (2020). Does the Indian financial market nosedive because of the COVID-19 outbreak, in comparison to after demonetization and the GST? *Emerging Market Finance and Trade*, 56, 2162–2180

- Pan, X., Mantin, B., & Dresner, M. (2020). Why are people panic buying due to the Coronavirus?, Retrieved on May 20, 2020, from https://nationalinterest.org/blog/buzz/why-are-people-panic-buyingdue-coronavirus-132352.
- Ph, H., & Uchil, R. (2019). Impact of investor sentiment on decision-making in Indian stock market: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 17(1), 66–83.
- Pompian, M.M (2006). Behavioral Finance and Wealth Management. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ.
- Thaler, R. & E. Johnson (1990). Gambling with the house money and trying to break even: The effects of prior outcomes on risky choice. *Management Science*, 36, 643-660.
- Topcu, M., and Gulal, S. O. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on emerging stock markets. *Finance Research Letters*, 36, 101691.
- Young, M. E., King, N., Harper, S., & Humphreys, K. R. (2013). The influence of popular media on perceptions of personal and population risk in possible disease outbreaks. *Health, Risk & Society*, *15*(1), 103-114. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13698575.2012.748884">https://doi.org/10.1080/13698575.2012.748884</a>